

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/618,255	<b>Applicant(s)</b> RUBSAMEN, REID M.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Sudhakar Katakam	<b>Art Unit</b> 1621	

**All Participants:**

(1) Sudhakar Katakam.

(2) Karl Bozicevic.

**Status of Application: Abandoned**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 25<sup>th</sup> April 2007

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*Non-final rejection made on 10/11/2006*

**Claims discussed:**


**Prior art documents discussed:**

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner called attorney to find out the status of their reply to the rejection made on 10/11/06. Attorney acknowledged the call and expressed that they are not willing to pursue the application further and so it is going to be abandoned.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)